

**Committee:** Uttlesford Planning Policy Working Group

**Agenda Item**

**Date:** 26 January 2014

**11**

**Title:** Annual Monitoring Report

**Author:** Sarah Nicholas, Senior Planning Officer

---

### **Summary**

1. Local planning authorities must publish information at least annually that shows progress with Local Plan preparation, reports any activity relating to the duty to cooperate and shows how the implementation of policies in the Local Plan is progressing. The 2014 Monitoring Report has now been prepared and is appended.
2. The report, unless otherwise specified, covers the period from 1 April 2013 to 31st March 2014.
3. The 2014 annual monitoring report will be available on the Council's website.

### **Recommendations**

4. For information

### **Financial Implications**

5. None:

### **Background Papers**

6. None

### **Impact**

- 7.

Communication/Consultation	Will be made available on website
Community Safety	N/A
Equalities	N/A
Health and Safety	N/A
Human Rights/Legal Implications	N/A
Sustainability	N/A

Ward-specific impacts	All
Workforce/Workplace	N/A

## Situation

8. The 2014 Annual Monitoring report monitors the following issues:-

- The progress of the Local Plan and any Development Plan Documents against the timetable set out in the Local Development Scheme (LDS);
- The extent to which policies are being achieved. This AMR will monitor policies in the Uttlesford Local Plan adopted in January 2005;
- Details of neighbourhood plans;
- Confirms that no monies have been received and expended through the Community Infrastructure Levy; and
- Details of how the Council has cooperated with other local planning authorities, county council or other appropriate bodies.

9. This working group has already considered reports on employment, retail and housing and these have been included in this annual monitoring report. The AMR also provides information on car parking standards, sites designated for nature conservation and renewable energy schemes.

## Risk Analysis

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigating actions
Decisions have been made contrary to policy which have had a harmful impact	1. All decisions consider the national and local policy context as well as professional advice from consultees	2. Clear monitoring helps to highlight any conflict. Decisions contrary to policy/consultee advice could result in harm	Consider planning application in the light of national guidance. Draft new policies in the light of past experience.

1 = Little or no risk or impact

2 = Some risk or impact – action may be necessary.

3 = Significant risk or impact – action required

4 = Near certainty of risk occurring, catastrophic effect or failure of project.